

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 NEHEMIAH ROBINSON,
12 Plaintiff,
13 vs.
14 T CATLETT, Sargeant, *et al.*,
15 Defendants.

CASE NO. 08-CV-0161-H
(BLM)

ORDER DENYING AS MOOT
MOTION TO DISMISS
COMPLAINT

16 On June 10, 2008, attorneys for Defendants submitted a motion to dismiss the
17 complaint. (Doc. No. 25.) On July 2, 2008, Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint
18 ("FAC"). (Doc. No. 26.) The Court accepts the FAC and concludes that the motion to
19 dismiss the original complaint is therefore moot. Accordingly, the Court denies the
20 pending motion without prejudice to Defendants' ability to raise the same or similar
21 arguments against the FAC.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 The Court continues to refer any motion to dismiss to the magistrate judge.¹
2 Defendants should contact the magistrate judge's chambers to obtain a hearing date on
3 any motion to dismiss the FAC.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED: July 7, 2008

6 
7 MARILYN L. HUFF, District Judge
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 COPIES TO:
10 All parties of record.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27
28 ¹Plaintiff filed a consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction. (See Doc. No. 7.) Defendants have not done so, and they are free to withhold such consent. Where the district judge retains jurisdiction, the Local Rules still refer prisoner section 1983 cases to the magistrate judge for a report and recommendation. See Local Civil Rule 72.3.